

29TH INTERNATIONAL MEETING ON ORGANIC GEOCHEMISTRY **GOTHENBURG · SWEDEN** 1-6 SEPTEMBER 2019

WELCOME

200

Paper reference: O32

Recognizing the causes of complex (mixed) molecular maturity signals in oils

Paul Farrimond Integrated Geochemical Interpretation (IGI) Ltd.

IMOG 2019 (Gothenburg): 3rd September 2019





Introduction

Aim & scope of this presentation

Show examples of mixed maturity signals in oils from several petroleum systems and examine the causes of these:

1. Inherent maturity differences between compound classes



2. Discrete high maturity charge



3. Leaching of immature biomarkers in reservoir or during migration



Encourage careful interpretation of maturity data using all compound classes

Draw particular attention to the risks of mis-interpretation where leaching is not recognized Often overlooked and poorly represented in the literature

Introduction

Molecular maturity indicators

A multitude of molecular parameters are available to measure maturity Using a wide range of compound classes:



Oil generation & composition

Compound classes through generation windows

The composition of generated petroleum changes during the maturation process:





Different compound classes preferentially generated at different maturity levels



Maturity signals from different compound classes Example: North Sea, Norway



Region NO01

NO02

NO03

NO06

NO07

NO09

NO15

NO16

NO17

NO24

NO25

(Norwegian

Petroleum

Directorate)

Biomarkers preserve a lower maturity signal than do the aromatics and the gasolines

This mismatch between maturity signals from different compound classes was well demonstrated by Wilhelms & Larter (2004)

"All oils are mixtures"

Even when dealing with a single source rock in a single kitchen

Wilhelms & Larter (2004). In: "Understanding Petroleum Reservoirs: towards an Integrated Reservoir Engineering & Geochemical Approach" Geol. Soc. Spec. Pub. 237, 27-35.

Mixing discreet charges of different maturity

Bias in the different compound classes

Concentrations are key:

Abundant biomarkers

Moderate aromatics

Low diamondoids

Black oil

Low gasolines

For example:

- 2 sources in the same kitchen
- 2 different kitchens
- Same source & kitchen, later charge

Gas condensate

Low biomarkers Higher aromatics High gasolines High diamondoids

Mixture

Biomarkers mainly from oil Aromatics from both Gasolines mainly from condensate Diamondoids mainly from condensate



Mixing discreet charges of different maturity

Example: Barmer Basin, India





Anomalously immature oils

Lower Cretaceous lacustrine sourced oil

Example: Falkland Islands (Sea Lion discovery)



Anomalously immature oils

Example: Falkland Islands (Sea Lion discovery)

Lower Cretaceous lacustrine sourced oil



Recognizing "leaching" in oils

After Curiale (2002)



11



Leaching of immature biomarkers

Example: Hod & Valhall Fields (North Sea)

VEMIC 4



Leaching of immature biomarkers

Example: Hod & Valhall Fields (North Sea)

EMIC:



Leaching of mature organic matter

Little influence on maturity parameters





Significance of leaching

Potential impacts & precautions

Error in maturity interpretation

500 650

Incorrect calibration of models

• Over-estimation of generation



Error in source assignment



Precautions to be taken:

- Check for unsaturated biomarkers (diasterenes)
- Identify any evidence of unusually low maturity biomarkers (any imbalance with aromatics & gasolines)
- Consider possibility of "out of place" biomarkers
- Is the oil likely to show leaching ?
 (condensate or very light oil with
 low biomarker concentrations)

Incorrect correlation & sourcing

- Oleanane: incorrect age
- C₃₀ steranes (marine markers)
- Coaly biomarkers

Summary Take home messages

CHEMICA,

All oils normally show mixed maturity signals	 Different compound classes are biased to different stages of generation
High maturity charges are not seen in the biomarkers	 But can be seen in gasolines, diamondoids & bulk properties
Unusually immature oils often the result of leaching	 Biomarkers typically immature, aromatics are usually normal
Leaching creates problems for interpretation	 Use compounds other than biomarkers
Leaching is especially problematic for:	Gas condensates & light high maturity oilsInterbedded (stacked) reservoirs (often Tertiary)
Leaching is more common than generally thought	The process happens all the timeOften not significant enough to be seen



Acknowledgements



Falkland Islands example (Farrimond *et al.*, 2015b)



Barmer Basin example (Farrimond *et al.*, 2015a)



NORWEGIAN PETROLEUM DIRECTORATE

Released data for the Norwegian North Sea





Key take home messages:

All oils are mixtures

High maturity charges are not seen in the biomarkers

Leaching creates problems for interpretation...

...and is more common than generally thought

